

PROPELLER EFFECT IN THE TRANSIENT X-RAY PULSAR SMC X-2

ALEXANDER A. LUTOVINOV^{1,2}, SERGEY S. TSYGANKOV^{3,1}, ROMAN A. KRIVONOS¹, SERGEY V. MOLKOV¹ AND
JURI POUTANEN^{3,4}

¹Space Research Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Profsoyuznaya 84/32, 117997 Moscow, Russia

²Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow region, Dolgoprudnyi, Russia

³Tuorla Observatory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Väisäläntie 20, FI-21500 Piikkiö, Finland

⁴Nordita, KTH Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Roslagstullsbacken 23, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

ABSTRACT

We report results of the monitoring campaign of the transient X-ray pulsar SMC X-2 performed with the *Swift*/XRT telescope in the period of Sept 2015 – Jan 2016 during the Type II outburst. During this event bolometric luminosity of the source ranged from $\simeq 10^{39}$ down to *several* $\times 10^{34}$ erg s⁻¹. Moreover, we discovered its dramatic drop by a factor of more than 100 below the limiting value of $L_{\text{lim}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{36}$ erg s⁻¹, that can be interpreted as a transition to the propeller regime. These measurements make SMC X-2 the sixth pulsating X-ray source where such a transition is observed and allow us to estimate the magnetic field of the neutron star in the system $B \simeq 3 \times 10^{12}$ G, that is in agreement with independent results of the spectral analysis.

Keywords: accretion – magnetic fields – stars: individual: SMC X-2 – X-rays: binaries

1. INTRODUCTION

The Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) is the satellite of the Milky Way situated at the distance of $d \simeq 62$ kpc (Haschke et al. 2012). This galaxy is extremely rich in Be X-ray binary systems, harboring a neutron star orbiting around an OBe companion (see recent review of Coe & Kirk 2015, and references therein). SMC X-2 was discovered at an early stage of the study of SMC (Clark et al. 1978) during the Type II outburst with an X-ray luminosity in the 2–11 keV energy band of about 10^{38} erg s⁻¹. A pulsating nature of the source was established during the second registered outburst, when the pulsations with the period of $P_{\text{spin}} \simeq 2.37$ s were detected with the *RXTE* observatory from the sky region around SMC X-2 (Corbet et al. 2001) and confirmed later using the *ASCA* data (Yokogawa et al. 2001). The pulsar magnetic field was not known until present time, but some hint at the cyclotron line detection in the SMC X-2 spectrum near ~ 27 keV was reported recently by Jaisawal & Naik (2016).

The optical counterpart of SMC X-2 was not unambiguously identified during a quite long time as two different stars of early spectral type are located near the X-ray position of the source with the angular separation only $2.5''$. Only recent monitoring observations with the OGLE experiment allowed to reveal a variability of one of these stars with the period of $P_{\text{orb}} = 18.62 \pm 0.02$ days (Schurch et al. 2011), that is in agreement with periodical variations of the pulse period detected by the *RXTE* and *Swift* observatories at $P_{\text{orb}} \simeq 18.4$ days (Townsend et al. 2011; La Palombara et al. 2016). This periodicity was interpreted as an orbital period in the system that, in a combination with the pulse period of $P_{\text{spin}} \simeq 2.37$ s, places SMC X-2 in the Be-systems region in the Corbet diagram (Corbet 1986).

The transient nature of a majority of Be systems is ideally suited to study the magnetic field, to investigate luminosity-dependent accretion processes and the geometry of the system, as well as to learn about the interaction of the accreted matter with the neutron star magnetosphere (see, e.g., Negueruela 1998; Reig 2011; Poutanen et al. 2013; Walter et al. 2015, for reviews and current physical models). One of the most interesting and straightforward manifestation of such an interaction is a transition of the accreting neutron star to the so-called propeller regime. The physical aspects of this regime was considered by Illarionov & Sunyaev (1975), who showed that under some conditions the accreted matter can be stopped by the centrifugal barrier set up by the rapidly rotating magnetosphere of the strongly magnetized neutron star. It should lead to the dramatic drop of the X-ray intensity of the source. The moment of the transition from the normal accretion regime to the propeller one depends on a combination of three physical parameters of the system – the pulse period, magnetic moment (or magnetic field strength) of the neutron star and the accretion rate. Because the pulse period and the accretion rate can be derived from observations, the detection of the propeller effect

provides us with an independent estimation of the neutron star magnetic field. This knowledge is very important as the magnetic field is one of the fundamental parameters governing observed properties of neutron stars.

Until recently only a few cases of possible transitions into the propeller regime in accreting millisecond and X-ray pulsars were reported in the literature (Stella et al. 1986; Cui 1997; Campana et al. 2001, 2008). Recently the propeller effect was also discovered in the first pulsating ultra-luminous X-ray source M82 X-2 (Tsygankov et al. 2016a). This discovery initiated a special monitoring program of transient X-ray pulsars with the *Swift*/XRT telescope to search for the propeller effect in other sources. First results of this program were published by Tsygankov et al. (2016b) for two well known transient X-ray pulsars V 0332+53 and 4U 0115+634, where the propeller effect was firmly established.

In this paper we report a discovery of the propeller effect and consequent determination of the magnetic field strength in another transient X-ray pulsar SMC X-2.

2. DATA ANALYSIS

SMC X-2 entered into a new outburst in the end of September 2015 and immediately started to be monitored with the *Swift*/XRT telescope (Kennea et al. 2015). The observations (in total of around 150 individual pointings) were performed in the period from 2015 September 24 to 2016 January 25 in the Windowed Timing (WT) and Photon Counting (PC) modes depending on the source brightness. Final products (spectrum in each observation) were prepared using online tools provided by the UK Swift Science Data Centre (Evans et al. 2009)¹. The spectra were grouped to have at least 1 count per bin and were fitted in the XSPEC package using the Cash statistic (Cash 1979). To avoid any problems caused by the calibration uncertainties at low energies,² we restricted our spectral analysis to the 0.7–10 keV band.

The obtained spectra in the source high state can be well fitted with a simple powerlaw model, modified by the interstellar absorption at low energies in the form of the PHABS model in the XSPEC package. We found that the hydrogen column density agrees well with the interstellar one in this direction, therefore in the following analysis it was fixed at $N_{\text{H}} = 3.4 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005). To calculate the unabsorbed source flux the CFLUX routine from the XSPEC package was used. In the low state the source was not detected in any single observation. Therefore we averaged them into two groups, according to the observational dates: the first group includes observations performed in the second half of Dec 2015 (3 observations), just after the expected transition to the propeller regime, the second group includes observations performed in Jan 2016 (11 observations). Again, the source was not detected in both groups and only upper limits to its flux were obtained based on the XRT sensitivity curve (Burrows et al. 2005). The log of *Swift*/XRT observations, including time of observations, exposure, mode and unabsorbed flux (or upper limits), is presented in Table 1.

SMC X-2 has been observed several times with the *NuSTAR* observatory that allowed us to reconstruct its broadband spectrum in the 3–79 keV energy band for different luminosity levels and to obtain the bolometric correction of the flux observed by XRT in the 0.5–10 keV energy band to the flux in the 0.5–100 keV band, that can be considered as a bolometric one. The analysis of the broad-band spectrum of SMC X-2 was presented by Jaisawal & Naik (2016), therefore we will not discuss it in details. Here we only would like to mention that it can be well described by the CUTOFF model with the inclusion of the thermal black-body component with the temperature of $\simeq 1 \text{ keV}$ and the cyclotron absorption line at the energies of 28–30 keV. Note, that the latter one demonstrates a negative correlation with the source luminosity (see also Jaisawal & Naik 2016) similar that observed in several other bright X-ray transient pulsars: 4U 0115+63 (Nakajima et al. 2006; Tsygankov et al. 2007) and V 0332+53 (Tsygankov et al. 2010). The ratio of fluxes in the 0.5–100 and 0.5–10 keV energy bands depends also on the luminosity and lies in the range 2.2–2.8, thus for the following estimations we used the averaged value of 2.5. All luminosities discussed below were corrected for the absorption as well.

¹ http://www.swift.ac.uk/user_objects/

² http://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/xrt/digest_cal.php

Table 1. *Swift*/XRT observations of the source SMC X-2

Obs Id	Date	Exposure	Flux ^a	Mode
	MJD	(s)	($10^{-10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$)	
00034073001	57289.6471	1979	$4.52^{+0.22}_{-0.21}$	WT
00034073002	57290.9811	1656	$5.08^{+0.71}_{-0.64}$	WT
00034073003	57292.9435	1580	$6.28^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	WT
00034073005	57294.5720	1799	$6.28^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	WT
00034073007	57296.3051	1947	$6.31^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	WT
00034073008	57297.1372	1888	$5.53^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073009	57298.6319	1908	$5.09^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073010	57299.7248	1760	$4.91^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	WT
00034073011	57300.8618	491	$4.27^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$	WT
00034073012	57299.7119	405	$4.76^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$	WT
00034073013	57301.5726	224	$3.15^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$	WT
00034073014	57301.5834	1597	$2.81^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073015	57302.8351	294	$3.24^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	WT
00034073016	57302.8475	1758	$3.78^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$	WT
00034073017	57303.3978	183	$2.67^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$	WT
00034073018	57303.4044	1965	$3.70^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073019	57304.8662	301	$3.17^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	WT
00034073020	57304.8727	1979	$3.30^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073021	57305.8641	388	$2.79^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	WT
00034073022	57305.8710	1984	$3.25^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073023	57306.7901	361	$2.72^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	WT
00034073024	57306.7974	1991	$2.94^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	WT
00081771001	57307.9317	471	$2.28^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$	WT
00081771002	57307.9366	1497	$2.83^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073025	57308.7849	410	$2.17^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	WT
00034073026	57308.7924	2158	$2.54^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073027	57309.3130	288	$2.83^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	WT
00034073028	57309.3141	1005	$2.59^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	WT
00034073029	57310.5200	348	$2.17^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$	WT
00034073030	57310.5274	2088	$2.48^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073031	57311.6110	381	$2.23^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	WT
00034073032	57311.6184	4008	$2.34^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073033	57312.4362	1358	$2.07^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073034	57312.4409	4500	$2.01^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073035	57313.4667	520	$1.87^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073037	57314.8068	224	$1.87^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$	WT
00034073038	57314.7121	1560	$1.77^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073039	57315.2938	524	$1.74^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073040	57315.3039	4355	$1.55^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073041	57316.6603	521	$1.68^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073042	57316.6686	3953	$1.74^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073043	57317.2878	943	$1.56^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073044	57317.2969	8329	$1.42^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073045	57318.3171	605	$1.48^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073047	57319.4473	151	$1.16^{+0.21}_{-0.18}$	WT
00034073048	57319.4910	2228	$1.25^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073049	57320.4445	391	$1.21^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	WT
00034073051	57321.3082	496	$1.35^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073052	57321.3155	3938	$1.24^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073054	57322.3206	744	$1.19^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073055	57323.1040	600	$1.12^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073056	57323.1123	3484	$1.03^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073058	57324.6376	312	$1.30^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$	PC
00034073059	57325.1331	720	$1.08^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073060	57325.1382	3678	$1.15^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073061	57326.3635	778	$1.01^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073062	57326.3673	3905	$1.07^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT

Obs Id	Date	Exposure	Flux ^a	Mode
	MJD	(s)	($10^{-10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$)	
00034073063	57327.1952	744	$0.93^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073064	57327.1999	2325	$1.07^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073065	57328.1589	549	$0.93^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073067	57337.9300	448	$0.71^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073068	57337.9357	1389	$0.91^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073070	57338.7359	1703	$0.91^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073071	57339.7532	550	$0.66^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073072	57339.7619	2365	$0.85^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073073	57340.8150	134	$0.66^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$	WT
00034073074	57340.7626	1750	$0.76^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073075	57341.6784	360	$0.60^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073076	57341.7213	2016	$0.63^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073077	57342.8066	294	$0.78^{+0.09}_{-0.07}$	WT
00034073078	57342.8219	1038	$0.79^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073079	57343.6030	736	$0.63^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073080	57343.6094	1777	$0.74^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073081	57345.9011	178	$0.41^{+0.12}_{-0.10}$	WT
00034073082	57345.9076	1995	$0.63^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073083	57346.3602	384	$0.56^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073084	57346.3676	1989	$0.51^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073085	57347.6270	236	$0.58^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	WT
00034073086	57347.6336	1922	$0.52^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073087	57348.5565	170	$0.49^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073088	57348.5630	1985	$0.51^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073089	57349.3533	277	$0.49^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073090	57349.3554	1171	$0.58^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073091	57350.4840	252	$0.48^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073092	57350.4907	1703	$0.52^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073093	57351.5410	240	$0.50^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	WT
00034073094	57351.5479	2338	$0.58^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073095	57352.7369	290	$0.38^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073096	57352.7434	2046	$0.45^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073099	57354.5984	323	$0.35^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	WT
00034073100	57354.6058	2232	$0.42^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073102	57355.7453	2101	$0.46^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073103	57356.5266	444	$0.26^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073104	57356.5342	1972	$0.45^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073105	57357.1884	242	$0.30^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073106	57357.1953	2165	$0.41^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073108	57358.3574	977	$0.35^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073109	57359.1477	273	$0.22^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073110	57359.1553	1988	$0.27^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073111	57360.2485	391	$0.22^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073112	57360.2559	1981	$0.25^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073113	57361.3750	3266	$0.23^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073114	57361.3819	1983	$0.22^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073116	57362.1417	1297	$0.15^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073117	57363.1686	348	$0.13^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073118	57363.1778	2104.6	$0.13^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073119	57364.3003	147.5	$0.17^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$	WT
00034073120	57364.3073	1987.7	$0.09^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	WT
00034073121	57365.3633	218.3	$0.08^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	WT
00034073122	57365.3701	1977.6	$0.11^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	WT
00034073123	57366.1267	175.0	$0.07^{+0.08}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073124	57366.0685	1111.0	$0.08^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	WT
00034073127	57368.4540	114.3	$0.08^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073128	57368.4602	861.8	$0.07^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	WT
00034073129	57369.1544	368.2	$0.10^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	WT

Obs Id	Date	Exposure	Flux ^a	Mode
	MJD	(s)	($10^{-10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$)	
00034073130	57369.1618	1340.8	$0.04^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	WT
00034073131	57370.9811	95.7	$0.07^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$	WT
00034073132	57370.9893	1231.4	$0.03^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	WT
00034073133	57371.2809	221.6	$0.29^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$	WT
00034073134	57371.2871	1782.7	$0.06^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	WT
Group 1				
00034073135	57375.5719	1938	0.0003^b	PC
00034073136	57376.6368	1583		PC
00034073137	57378.2005	1568		PC
Group 2				
00034073139	57392.3539	737	0.00016^b	PC
00034073140	57394.9368	1653		PC
00034073141	57396.2917	912		PC
00034073142	57398.4597	1586		PC
00034073143	57400.5460	1900		PC
00034073144	57402.3410	1836		PC
00034073145	57404.6973	2379		PC
00034073146	57406.3691	1226		PC
00034073147	57408.3840	1671		PC
00034073148	57410.7484	1848		PC
00034073149	57412.7004	812		PC

^a Unabsorbed flux in the 0.5–10 keV energy range

^b Upper limit in the 0.5–10 keV energy range

The bolometric luminosity of SMC X-2 corrected for the absorption is presented in Fig. 1. There are (at least) three interesting features in this light curve:

1. The maximum luminosity is about $10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, that exceeds the standard Eddington limit for the neutron star by a factor of 5. This implies that at such a high accretion rate, the accretion column should be formed at the neutron star surface and the magnetic field on the neutron star surface should be at least $\sim 10^{12} \text{ G}$ (Mushtukov et al. 2015).
2. The decay of the light curve is nearly exponential during the first two months of the outburst (excluding last dozen of days).
3. There is a dramatic drop (by a factor of more than 100) of the source luminosity on MJD 57370 at around $L_{\text{lim}} \simeq (4 \pm 1) \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (see red dashed line). Note, that there is a time gap (about 4 days) between the last observation when the source was significantly detected and the next one when the source was not detected already (see Table 1). Therefore we take the limiting luminosity as the average luminosity between the last significant measurement and an extrapolation of our fit (see gaussian in Fig. 1) to the time of the next observation where the source was not detected. The uncertainty corresponds to the difference between that luminosity and the measured or extrapolated luminosities. This drastic change of the source luminosity is most likely related to its transition to the propeller regime.

Below we briefly discuss this effect and estimate physical parameters of the system SMC X-2, in particular, the magnetic field strength of the neutron star.

3. ESTIMATE OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD

The main idea behind the propeller effect is that the accretion of matter onto a strongly magnetized neutron star is possible only if the velocity of the magnetic field lines is lower than the local Keplerian velocity at the magnetospheric radius (R_m). This condition is fulfilled only in the case when the magnetospheric radius is smaller than the co-rotation radius (R_c). Because of the dependence of the magnetospheric radius on the mass accretion rate and magnetic field strength, one can link the latter to the limiting luminosity corresponding to the onset of the propeller regime by equating the co-rotation and magnetospheric radii (Campana et al. 2002)

$$L_{\text{lim}}(R) \simeq \frac{GM\dot{M}_{\text{lim}}}{R} \simeq 4 \times 10^{37} k^{7/2} B_{12}^2 P^{-7/3} M_{1.4}^{-2/3} R_6^5 \text{ erg s}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. Evolution of the bolometric luminosity of SMC X-2 during the 2015–2016 outburst. Luminosity is calculated from the unabsorbed flux derived from *Swift*/XRT data under assumption of the distance $d = 62$ kpc and bolometric correction factor of 2.5 (see Section 2). Two upper limits were obtained by averaging 3 and 11 observations, respectively, with very low count statistic (note that all of them were performed in the PC mode). Solid green line illustrates the flux decay law right before the transition to the propeller regime and obtained by fitting of the light curve with a Gaussian function (see, e.g., [Campana et al. 2014](#)). Horizontal dashed line shows the approximate limiting luminosity when the propeller regime sets in.




Figure 2. The observed correlation between a combination of the propeller limiting luminosity and the pulse period, $L_{\text{lim}} P^{7/3}$, and the magnetic field strength B at the neutron star surface (independent measurements) for five sources (for the details see [Tsygankov et al. 2016b](#)). Dashed and dot-dashed lines represent the theoretical dependence from Equation (1) assuming standard parameters for a neutron star ($M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$, $R = 10$ km) and $k = 0.5$ and $k = 0.7$, respectively. The red star indicates the position of SMC X-2 for the case of $k = 0.5$ and the limiting luminosity $L_{\text{lim}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{36}$ erg s $^{-1}$.

where R_6 is neutron star radius in units of 10^6 cm, $M_{1.4}$ is the neutron star mass in units of $1.4M_\odot$, P is the pulsar's rotational period in seconds, B_{12} is the magnetic field strength in units of 10^{12} G on the neutron star surface under an assumption of the dipole configuration of the magnetic field. The factor k relates the magnetospheric radius in the case of disc accretion to the Alfvén radius calculated for spherical accretion ($R_m = k \times R_A$) and is usually assumed to be $k = 0.5$ (Ghosh & Lamb 1978).

As it follows from Equation (1), a detection of the transition of the pulsar to the propeller regime and the measurement of the corresponding limiting luminosity L_{lim} can be used to estimate the neutron star magnetic field. The validity of such approach was recently proven by Tsygankov et al. (2016b) who compared for several X-ray pulsars the magnetic field strengths obtained with this method with independently determined values in extremely wide range of magnetic fields, from 10^8 to 10^{14} G (Fig. 2).

For the standard parameters of a neutron star of $M = 1.4M_\odot$, $R = 10$ km and $k = 0.5$, the measured limiting luminosity of $L_{\text{lim}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{36}$ erg s $^{-1}$ corresponds to the magnetic field strength $B = (3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{12}$ G. Based on these estimations we can predict that the cyclotron line should be observed in SMC X-2 at around 25 keV. This is in agreement with the independent measurement by the *NuSTAR* observatory (see above and Jaisawal & Naik 2016).

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have reported the discovery of the propeller effect in the bright transient X-ray pulsar SMC X-2. The dramatic drop of the source luminosity (by a factor of more than 100) on the time scale of a few days was revealed thanks to the monitoring campaign with the *Swift*/XRT telescope, organized during the Type II outburst registered from the source in 2015 September – 2016 January. The luminosity drop occurred near the luminosity of $L_{\text{lim}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{36}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Based on this measurement we estimated the magnetic field strength of the neutron star in the SMC X-2 binary system as $B \simeq 3 \times 10^{12}$ G, that is typical for X-ray pulsars (see, e.g., recent review by Walter et al. 2015) and confirmed independently by results of the spectral analysis. Thus our discovery makes SMC X-2 the sixth known pulsating X-ray source where the propeller effect was observed.

This research has made by using *Swift*/XRT data provided by the UK Swift Science Data Centre at the University of Leicester. AAL, SST and SVM acknowledge support from Russian Science Foundation (grant 14-12-01287). JP thanks the Foundations' Professor Pool, the Finnish Cultural Foundation and the Academy of Finland (grant 268740) for financial support. Authors thanks to the anonymous referee for the useful comments.

REFERENCES

- Burrows, D. N., Hill, J. E., Nousek, J. A., et al. 2005, SSRv, 120, 165
- Campana, S., Gastaldello, F., Stella, L., et al. 2001, ApJ, 561, 924
- Campana, S., Stella, L., Israel, G. L., et al. 2002, ApJ, 580, 389
- Campana, S., Stella, L., & Kennea, J. A. 2008, ApJL, 684, L99
- Campana, S., Brivio, F., Degenaar, N., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 1984
- Cash, W. 1979, ApJ, 228, 939
- Clark, G., Doxsey, R., Li, F., Jernigan, J. G., & van Paradijs, J. 1978, ApJL, 221, L37
- Coe, M. J., & Kirk, J. 2015, MNRAS, 452, 969
- Corbet, R. H. D. 1986, MNRAS, 220, 1047
- Corbet, R. H. D., Marshall, F. E., Coe, M. J., Laycock, S., & Handler, G. 2001, ApJL, 548, L41
- Cui, W. 1997, ApJL, 482, L163
- Evans, P. A., Beardmore, A. P., Page, K. L., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1177
- Ghosh, P., & Lamb, F. K. 1978, ApJL, 223, L83
- Haschke, R., Grebel, E. K., & Duffau, S. 2012, AJ, 144, 107
- Illarionov, A. F., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1975, A&A, 39, 185
- Jaisawal, G. & Naik, S. 2016, MNRAS, 461, L97
- Kalberla P., Burton W., Hartmann D., Arnal E., Bajaja E., Morras R., Pppel W., 2005, A&A, 440, 775
- Kennea, J. A., Burrows, D. N., Coe, M. J., et al. 2015, The Astronomer's Telegram, 8091, 1
- La Palombara, N., Sidoli, L., Pintore, F., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 458, L74
- Mushtukov, A. A., Suleimanov, V. F., Tsygankov, S. S., & Poutanen, J. 2015, MNRAS, 454, 2539
- Nakajima, M., Mihara, T., Makishima, K., & Niko, H. 2006, ApJ, 646, 1125
- Negueruela, I. 1998, A&A, 338, 505
- Poutanen J., Mushtukov A. A., Suleimanov V. F., Tsygankov S. S., Nagirner D. I., Doroshenko V., Lutovinov A. A., 2013, ApJ, 777, 115
- Reig, P. 2011, Ap&SS, 332, 1
- Stella, L., White, N. E., & Rosner, R. 1986, ApJ, 308, 669
- Schurch, M. P. E., Coe, M. J., McBride, V. A., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 391
- Townsend, L. J., Coe, M. J., Corbet, R. H. D., & Hill, A. B. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1556
- Tsygankov, S. S., Lutovinov, A. A., Churazov, E. M., & Sunyaev, R. A. 2007, Astronomy Letters, 33, 368
- Tsygankov, S. S., Lutovinov, A. A., & Serber, A. V. 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1628
- Tsygankov, S. S., Mushtukov, A. A., Suleimanov, V. F., & Poutanen, J. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 1101
- Tsygankov S. S., Lutovinov A. A., Doroshenko V., Mushtukov A. A., Suleimanov V., Poutanen J., 2016, A&A, 593, A16
- Walter R., Lutovinov A. A., Bozzo E., Tsygankov S. S., 2015, ARAA, 23, 2

Yokogawa, J., Torii, K., Kohmura, T., & Koyama, K. 2001,
PASJ, 53, 227